# metal-organic papers

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

# Bikshandarkoil R. Srinivasan,<sup>a</sup> Sunder N. Dhuri,<sup>a</sup> Christian Näther<sup>b\*</sup> and Wolfgang Bensch<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Chemistry, Goa University PO, Goa 403 206, India, and <sup>b</sup>Institut für Anorganische Chemie, Christian-Albrechts-Universität Kiel, Olshausenstraße 40, D-24098 Kiel, Germany

Correspondence e-mail: cnaether@ac.uni-kiel.de

#### Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 293 K Mean  $\sigma$ (C–C) = 0.009 Å R factor = 0.023 wR factor = 0.054 Data-to-parameter ratio = 27.8

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

© 2002 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved Ethylenediammonium tetrathiotungstate(VI)

The structure of the title complex,  $(C_2H_{10}N_2)[WS_4]$ , consists of tetrahedral  $[WS_4]^{2-}$  anions which are connected to the organic ethylenediammonium dications *via* hydrogen bonding. All atoms are located in general positions.

Received 20 September 2002 Accepted 30 September 2002 Online 5 October 2002

## Comment

Since the first reported synthesis of an  $[Ni(WS_4)_2]^{2-}$  complex (Müller & Diemann, 1971), an extensive chemistry of the sulfide complexes of W, and also the lighter congener Mo, has been developed. The  $[WS_4]^{2-}$  ion has been used as a reagent for the synthesis of several structurally diverse W-S complexes, such as  $[W_2(S)_2(\mu-S)_2(S_4)_2]^{2-}$  (Cohen & Stiefel, 1985),  $[W_2(S)_2(\mu-S)(\eta^2-S_2)_4]^{2-}$  (Manoli *et al.*, 1987),  $[W_2(S)_2 (SH)(\mu - \eta^3 - S_2)(\eta^2 - S_2)_3]^-$  (Sécheresse *et al.*, 1986),  $[W(WS_4)_2]^{2-1}$ (Bhaduri & Ibers, 1986),  $[SW(WS_4)_2]^{2-}$ ,  $[W_3S_{10}]^{2-}$  (Müller *et* al., 1989) and  $[(W_2S_4)(WS_4)_2]^{2-}$  (Sécheresse et al., 1982). A compound with composition  $[W_4S_8(en)_4]S$  (en is ethylenediamine) was obtained by the reaction of  $K_2S_4$  with  $W(CO)_6$  in supercritical ethylenediamine; it contains as the main structural feature a W<sub>4</sub> tetrahedron with each face bridged by a  $\mu_3$ -S atom (Woods et al., 1993). The synthesis and structural characterization of such complexes clearly demonstrates the versatile coordination behavior of the sulfide ligand towards W in various oxidation states. In addition, it has been shown that the  $[WS_4]^{2-}$  ion can act as a bidentate ligand, leading to the formation of sulfur-bridged heterometallic complexes (Müller et al., 1981). The interest in this area is due to the fact that sulfide complexes of W, such as  $[Ni(tren)_2]WS_4$  [tren is tris(2-aminoethylamine); Ellermeier et al., 2002], and also of Mo, such as  $[Ni(en)_3]MoS_4$  (Ellermeier *et al.*, 1999), can be synthesized as phase-pure products in good yields under mild solvothermal conditions.

# $[H_3N-CH_2-CH_2-NH_3]^{2+}$ $[WS_4]^{2-}$ (I)

The study of the  $[WS_4]^{2-}$  and  $[MoS_4]^{2-}$  salts of organic cations has gained importance in recent years in view of the reported observations that piperidinium tetrathiotungstate (Dhar & Chandrasekaran, 1989) and benzyltriethylammonium tetrathiomolybdate can be used as sulfur-transfer reagents in organic synthesis, for the formation of novel organosulfur compounds under mild reaction conditions (Prabhu *et al.*, 2000). The study of the reactivity characteristics of sulfide complexes of Mo and W with organic bases, such as en, can also be useful for understanding the influence of the chemical properties of the solvent on product formation under



**Figure 1** The crystal structure of ethylenediammonium tetrathiotungstate(VI), with labeling and with 50% probability displacement ellipsoids.

solvothermal conditions. Recently, we reported the synthesis and structural characterization of  $(enH_2)[MoS_4]$  (en is 1,2-ethylenediamine), as part of a project on the synthesis and reactivity characterization of the salts of thiomolybdates and thiotungstates of organic dications (Srinivasan *et al.*, 2001). In continuation of this work, we have undertaken the structure determination of the title compound, (I).

The title compound is isostructural with the earlier reported Mo analog. The lattice parameters show a very small increase of the a, b and c values compared to those of the corresponding Mo analog. The substitution of Mo by W results in only a slight increase in the unit-cell volume [the unit-cell volume of the Mo complex is 938.7 (9)  $Å^3$ ]. This can be explained on the basis of the larger size of W. The structure consists of  $(enH_2)^{2+}$  cations and tetrahedral  $[WS_4]^{2-}$  anions (Fig. 1). The cations and anions are further connected via weak hydrogen bonds (Fig. 2). The WS<sub>4</sub> tetrahedron is very slightly distorted, with S-W-S angles ranging from 108.66 (7) to 110.08 (6)°. The W–S bond distances vary from 2.1851 (14) to 2.1943 (13) Å. In contrast, the W–S distances in the complex [Ni(tren)<sub>2</sub>][WS<sub>4</sub>] range from 2.1580 (10) to 2.2122 (9) Å, while the S-W-S angles vary from 108.39 (4) to 110.78 (4)° (Ellermeier et al., 2002). These differences can be attributed to the differing nature of the cations. The W-S bond lengths are slightly longer than the average W-S bond length of 2.177 Å in  $(NH_4)_2[WS_4]$  (Müller et al., 1981). The significantly longer W-S distance of 2.2122 (9) Å in the complex [Ni(tren)<sub>2</sub>][WS<sub>4</sub>] has earlier been attributed to hydrogen-bonding interactions of the S atom with the H atoms of the tren ligands. This postulate gains further credence from the present results. In the title compound, atom S3 is 2.1943 (13) Å from the central W and is involved in three short contacts to the H atoms of the  $(enH_2)^{2+}$  cation. In all, nine short intermolecular contacts between the S atoms of  $[WS_4]^{2-}$ and the H atoms of the  $(enH_2)^{2+}$  cation, ranging from 2.432 to 3.003 Å, are observed. Six of these intermolecular contacts are shorter than the shortest intermolecular contact of 2.73 (4) Å observed in the complex [Ni(tren)<sub>2</sub>][WS<sub>4</sub>]. The reason for this is that the N atom in (I) carries a positive charge, while the N atom in the tren ligand of [Ni(tren)<sub>2</sub>][WS<sub>4</sub>] is neutral and coordinated to Ni<sup>II</sup>. Short intermolecular contacts have also been observed between the amino H atoms of the coordinated en ligand and the S atoms of [Ni(en)<sub>3</sub>][MoS<sub>4</sub>], ranging from





2.653 (3) to 2.680 (3) Å (Ellermeier *et al.*, 1999). In (I), at least five intermolecular contacts are observed which are shorter than 2.653 (3) Å.

The title compound has been synthesized by reacting  $(NH_4)_2[WS_4]$  with en. In contrast, the acidification reactions of  $[WS_4]^{2-}$  have been shown to result in the formation of di-, triand tetranuclear W–S complexes, such as  $[W_2S_{11}H]^{-}$ ,  $[W_2S_{11}]^{2-}$ ,  $[W_3S_8]^{2-}$ ,  $[W_3S_9]^{2-}$ ,  $[W_3S_{10}]^{2-}$ ,  $[W_4S_{12}]^{2-}$  etc., as mentioned earlier. Thus, the present work indicates that the tetrahedral  $[WS_4]^{2-}$  core is stable under alkaline conditions and remains intact. The use of the strong base en results in a simple cation exchange. Further studies are in progress to understand such base-promoted cation exchange reactions by employing different organic bases.

# Experimental

 $(NH_4)_2[WS_4]$  (1 mmol) was dissolved in 6 ml distilled water and 0.2 ml 99% ethylenediamine was added at room temperature. The reaction mixture was filtered and the clear filtrate was allowed to stand undisturbed in a 50 ml glass beaker in a refrigerator. After 2 d, crystals of (I) crystallized out. The orange–yellow crystals were filtered off, washed with 5 ml cold water and dried in air. Yield: 60%. The crystals are stable in air.

Crystal data

$(C_2H_{10}N_2)[WS_4]$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
$M_r = 374.21$	Cell parameters from 8000
Orthorhombic, $P2_12_12_1$	reflections
a = 8.6401 (4)  Å	$\theta = 8-25^{\circ}$
b = 9.3228(5) Å	$\mu = 12.93 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
c = 11.8281(7)  Å	T = 293 (2) K
V = 952.75 (9) Å <sup>3</sup>	Plate, yellow
Z = 4	$0.13 \times 0.10 \times 0.05 \text{ mm}$
$D_{\rm x} = 2.609 {\rm Mg}{\rm m}^{-3}$	

Data collection

Stoe IPDS diffractometer	2207 reflections
$\varphi$ scans	$R_{\rm int} = 0.040$
Absorption correction: numerical	$\theta_{\rm max} = 28.1^{\circ}$
(X-SHAPE; Stoe & Cie, 1998)	$h = -11 \rightarrow 11$
$T_{\min} = 0.237, T_{\max} = 0.527$	$k = -12 \rightarrow 12$
8270 measured reflections	$l = -15 \rightarrow 15$
2308 independent reflections	

### Refinement

Refinement on $F^2$
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.023$
$wR(F^2) = 0.054$
S = 1.04
2308 reflections
83 parameters
H-atom parameters constrained
$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.036P)^2]$
where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$

### Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

W1-S4	2.1851 (14)	N1-C1	1.483 (8)
W1-S2	2.1852 (13)	C1-C2	1.500 (9)
W1-S1	2.1927 (14)	C2-N2	1.468 (8)
W1-S3	2.1943 (13)		
S4-W1-S2	109.23 (6)	S2-W1-S3	109.60 (6)
S4-W1-S1	108.66 (7)	S1-W1-S3	110.08 (6)
S2-W1-S1	109.46 (6)	N1-C1-C2	112.8 (5)
S4-W1-S3	109.78 (6)	N2-C2-C1	113.3 (5)

Table 2

Hydrogen-bonding geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
$N1-H1N1\cdots S4^{i}$	0.89	2.48	3.274 (5)	149
$N1 - H1N1 \cdots S2^{i}$	0.89	3.00	3.478 (6)	115
$N1-H2N1\cdots S1^{ii}$	0.89	2.44	3.300 (6)	161
$N1-H3N1\cdots S2^{iii}$	0.89	2.69	3.370 (6)	134
N1-H3N1···S3 <sup>iii</sup>	0.89	2.80	3.558 (6)	144
N2-H1N3···S4	0.89	2.47	3.254 (5)	147
N2-H1N3···S3	0.89	2.85	3.424 (6)	124
$N2-H2N3\cdots S1^{iv}$	0.89	2.43	3.301 (5)	166
$N2-H3N3\cdot\cdot\cdot S3^{iii}$	0.89	2.55	3.385 (6)	157

Symmetry codes: (i)  $\frac{3}{2} - x, 2 - y, \frac{1}{2} + z$ ; (ii) 1 + x, y, z; (iii)  $\frac{1}{2} + x, \frac{3}{2} - y, 1 - z$ ; (iv)  $\frac{1}{2} - x, 2 - y, \frac{1}{2} + z$ .

The H atoms were located from a difference map, but were positioned with idealized geometry ( $d_{\rm C-H} = 0.97$  Å and  $d_{\rm N-H} = 0.89$  Å) and refined with constrained isotropic displacement parameters [ $U_{\rm iso}({\rm H}) = 1.5U_{\rm eq}({\rm C,N})$ ], using a riding model.

Data collection: *IPDS Program Package* (Stoe & Cie, 1998); cell refinement: *IPDS Program Package*; data reduction: *IPDS Program Package*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS*97 (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL*97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *XP* in *SHELXTL* (Bruker, 1998); software used to prepare material for publication: *CIFTAB* in *SHELXL*97.

This work is supported by the State of Schleswig-Holstein and the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft. The authors also thank the University Grants Commission, New Delhi, for financial assistance.

### References

with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} (\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001 \\ \Delta\rho_{\rm max} = 1.44 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3} \\ \Delta\rho_{\rm min} = -2.17 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3} \end{array}$ 

960 Friedel pairs

Extinction correction: SHELXL97

Extinction coefficient: 0.0101 (4)

Absolute structure: Flack (1983),

Flack parameter = -0.011 (11)

- Bhaduri, S. & Ibers, J. A. (1986). Inorg. Chem. 25, 3-4.
- Bruker (1998). SHELXTL. Version 5.1. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Cohen, S. A. & Stiefel, E. I. (1985). Inorg. Chem. 24, 4657-4662.
- Dhar, P. & Chandrasekaran, S. (1989). J. Org. Chem. 54, 2998–3000.
- Ellermeier, J., Näther, C. & Bensch, W. (1999). Acta Cryst. C55, 501-503.
- Ellermeier, J., Stähler, R. & Bensch, W. (2002). Acta Cryst. C58, m70–m73.
- Flack, H. D. (1983). Acta Cryst. A**39**, 876–881.
- Manoli, J. M., Potvin, C. & Scheresse, F. (1987). Inorg. Chem. 26, 340-341.
- Müller, A. & Diemann, E. (1971). Chem. Commun. pp. 65-67.
- Müller, A., Diemann, E., Jostes, R. & Bögge, H. (1981). Angew. Chem. 93, 957– 977; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. (1981). 20, 934–955, and references therein.
- Müller, A., Diemann, E., Wienböker, U. & Bögge, H. (1989). *Inorg. Chem.* 28, 4046–4049.
- Prabhu, K. R., Shivanand, P. S. & Chandrasekaran, S. (2000). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 39, 4316–4318.
- Sécheresse, F., Lefebvre, J., Daran, J. C. & Jeannin, Y. (1982). Inorg. Chem. 21, 1311–1314.
- Sécheresse, F., Manoli, J. M. & Potvin, C. (1986). *Inorg. Chem.* 25, 3967–3971.Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). *SHELXS*97 and *SHELXL*97. University of
- Göttingen, Germany. Srinivasan, B. R., Vernekar, B. K. & Nagarajan, K. (2001). *Indian J. Chem.* Sect. A, 40, 563–567.
- Stoe & Cie (1998). *IPDS Program Package*. Version 2.89. Stoe & Cie, Darmstadt, Germany.
- Stoe & Cie (1998). X-SHAPE. Version 1.03. Stoe & Cie, Darmstadt, Germany.
- Woods, P. T., Pennington, W. T., Kolis, J. W., Wu, B. & O'Connor, C. J. (1993). *Inorg. Chem.* 32, 129–130.